

Electronics task form
AS Electronics
Component 2 - Systems design and realisation tasks

Centre Number:	Centre Name:
Candidate number:	Candidate name:

Task 1 Focus	Teacher's signature:
	Date:
Task 2 Focus	Teacher's signature:
	Date:
Task 3 Focus	Teacher's signature:
	Date:

Notice to candidate

The work you submit for assessment must be your own.
 If you copy from someone else, allow another candidate to copy from you, or if you cheat in any other way, you may be disqualified from at least the subject concerned.

Candidate declaration

I have read and understood the Notice to candidate (above). I have produced the attached work without assistance other than that which my teacher has explained is acceptable within the specification.

Candidate's signature:	Date:
------------------------	-------

Teacher declaration

I confirm that:

- any assistance that goes beyond general guidance has been recorded and taken into account when marking the work;
- otherwise apart from general guidance given within the parameters set out in the specification, the work was solely that of the candidate;
- the work was conducted under the conditions laid out by the specification.

Teacher's signature:	Date:
----------------------	-------

Details of additional assistance given

Record here details of any assistance given which goes beyond general guidance and taken into account when the work is marked (continue on separate sheet if necessary). You must indicate where you have taken into account the additional assistance provided via annotations.

Task 1: Digital system

1. System planning		Mark awarded
3 marks	<p>The candidate has provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a clear analysis of a problem leading to a design specification in both qualitative and quantitative terms (typically at least 3 of each), and including 3 or more detailed realistic electronic parameters 	
2 marks	<p>The candidate has provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> some analysis of a problem with a design specification in both qualitative and quantitative terms (typically at least 2 of each), and including 1 or more realistic electronic parameters 	
1 mark	<p>The candidate has provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a limited analysis of a problem and a partial design specification in either qualitative or quantitative terms (typically at least 4 in total) 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	
2. System Development		Mark awarded
6 - 8 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provided a clearly labelled block diagram for the system and developed the system as a series of sub-systems and made predictions regarding its behaviour produced an accurate good quality fully labelled circuit diagram for the system planned and produced a very well organised physical circuit layout with all wires arranged vertically/horizontally, and showed good awareness of risk assessment arranged wires with no unnecessary crossing of components which were mounted to a high standard and showed good awareness of safe working procedures 	
3 - 5 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provided a labelled block diagram for the system and made some attempt to develop the system as a series of sub-systems produced an accurate well labelled circuit diagram for the system planned and produced a generally well organised physical circuit layout with most wires arranged vertically/horizontally and showed some awareness of risk assessment arranged most wires without unnecessary crossing of components which were mounted to a good standard and showed awareness of safe working procedures 	
1 - 2 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> made a superficial attempt to develop the system as a series of sub-systems produced a circuit diagram for the system which was partially labelled or lacked clarity produced a physical circuit layout with minimal evidence of organisation/planning and showed some superficial awareness of risk assessment/ safe working procedures 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	

3. System Realisation		Mark awarded
5 - 6 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> performed functional tests on all the sub-systems and recorded all relevant results tested the complete physical system prototype and provided a detailed analysis of the results using standard scientific convention which included most of the relevant electrical measurements produced an electronic system that worked consistently and reliably and included a comprehensive user guide 	
3 - 4 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> performed functional tests on most of the sub-systems and recorded most relevant results tested the complete physical system prototype and provided some analysis of the results using standard scientific convention which included some of the relevant electrical measurements produced an electronic system that worked most of the time and included a user guide 	
1 - 2 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> performed functional tests on 1 or more different sub-systems and made some attempt at recording the results tested the complete physical system prototype and provided a limited analysis of the results produced an electronic system in which at least 2 sub-systems worked most of the time 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	
4. Evaluation		
3 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken a critical and objective evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid, made comprehensive comparisons with the design specification and made at least 2 thorough suggestions for improvement with explanations of how they improve the system 	
2 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken an objective evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid, made some comparisons with the design specification and made at least 2 some suggestions for improvement 	
1 mark	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken a simple evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid in few respects, made minimal comparison with the design specification and made at least 1 superficial suggestions for improvement 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	

Task 1 – Total mark

20

Task 2: Analogue system

1. System planning		Mark awarded
3 marks	The candidate has provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a clear analysis of a problem leading to a design specification in both qualitative and quantitative terms (typically at least 3 of each), and including 3 or more detailed realistic electronic parameters 	
2 marks	The candidate has provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> some analysis of a problem with a design specification in both qualitative and quantitative terms (typically at least 2 of each), and including 1 or more realistic electronic parameters 	
1 mark	The candidate has provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a limited analysis of a problem and a partial design specification in either qualitative or quantitative terms (typically at least 4 in total) 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	
2. System Development		Mark awarded
4 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced an accurate good quality circuit diagram for the system which was clearly labelled planned and produced a very well organised physical circuit layout with all wires arranged vertically/horizontally, and showed good awareness of risk assessment 	
2 - 3 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced an accurate well labelled circuit diagram for the system planned and produced a generally well organised physical circuit layout with most wires arranged vertically/horizontally and showed some awareness of risk assessment 	
1 mark	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced a circuit diagram for the system that was partially labelled or lacked clarity produced a physical circuit layout with minimal evidence of organisation/planning and showed some superficial awareness of risk assessment/ safe working procedures 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	

3. System Realisation		Mark awarded
8 - 10 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provided comprehensive evidence of planning test procedures and has clearly identified all the appropriate test equipment and made predictions regarding test ranges required tested the complete physical system prototype with all the relevant numerical measurements of the system parameters being made making, appropriate use of standard scientific convention provided a detailed justification for the accuracy of most of the measurements made and clearly recorded the results in table form and graphically provided a detailed analysis of the results 	
4 - 7 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provided evidence of planning test procedures and has identified all the appropriate test equipment tested the complete physical system prototype with most of the relevant numerical measurements of the system parameters being made, making some appropriate use of standard scientific convention provided some justification for the accuracy of most of the measurements made and recorded the results in table form and graphically provided good analysis of the results 	
1 - 3 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provided minimal evidence of planning test procedures and has identified some appropriate test equipment partially tested the complete physical system prototype and made basic numerical measurements recorded results in table form or graphically provided some analysis of the results 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	
4. Evaluation		
3 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken a critical and objective evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid, made comprehensive comparisons with the design specification and made at least 2 suggested improvements in procedures for data collection 	
2 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken an objective evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid and made at least 2 comparisons with the design specification 	
1 mark	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken a simple evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid in few respects, made minimal comparison with the design specification and made at least 1 superficial suggestion for improvement 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted.	

Task 2 – Total mark

20

Task 3: Microcontroller system (Flowchart program)

1. System planning		Mark awarded
2 marks	The candidate has provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a clear and concise analysis of a problem and a design specification in both qualitative and quantitative terms (typically at least 3 of each), and including two or more detailed realistic measurable parameters 	
1 mark	The candidate has provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An analysis of a problem and a partial design specification in either qualitative or quantitative terms (typically at least 4 in total) 	
0 marks	Response not credit worthy or not attempted	
2. System Development		Mark awarded
6 - 8 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced a comprehensive flowchart solution to the problem and make predictions regarding its behaviour devised a program that reacted to and used information from inputs to control outputs and utilised 4 or more port bits used 8 or more different commands in the program including two types of decision command produced simulation tests and given a full account of the tests on the proposed flowchart program 	
3 - 5 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced a good flowchart solution to the problem devised a program that reacted to and used information from inputs to control outputs and utilised 3 or more port bits used 6 or more different commands in the program including one or more types of decision command produced simulation tests and given a reasonable account of the tests on the proposed flowchart program with minor omissions in the results 	
1 - 2 marks	The candidate has: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced a basic flowchart solution to the problem devised a program that utilised 2 or more port bits used 4 or more different commands in the program produced simulation tests and given a superficial account of the tests on the proposed flowchart program, with some omissions in the results 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted	

3. System Realisation		Mark awarded
6 - 8 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced an accurate circuit diagram and physical circuit layout which were very well organised and provide a component list made most wire connections and mounted most components to a high standard and showed good awareness of risk assessment/safe working procedures downloaded the program to the microcontroller circuit and comprehensively tested the complete physical system prototype; provided a detailed analysis of the results for a system that worked consistently and reliably 	
3 - 5 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced an accurate circuit diagram and physical circuit layout which were organised made most wire connections and mounted most components to a good standard and showed some awareness of risk assessment/safe working procedures downloaded the program to the microcontroller circuit and tested the majority of the complete physical system prototype provided some relevant analysis of the results with some detail for a system that mainly worked 	
1 - 2 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> produced a circuit diagram and physical circuit layout which tended not to be very well organised; downloaded the program to the microcontroller circuit and partially tested the complete physical system prototype; provided some superficial analysis of the results for a system that worked at some time. 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted	
4. Evaluation		
2 marks	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken an objective evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid, made comprehensive comparisons with the design specification and made at least 2 suggestions for improvement with explanations of how they improve the system 	
1 mark	<p>The candidate has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaken a simple evaluation of the performance of the complete system which was valid in few respects, made minimal comparisons with the design specification and made at least 1 superficial suggestion for improvement 	
0 marks	Response not creditworthy or not attempted	

Task 3 – Total mark

20